

Nonsmoothable group actions in dimension 4

Nobuhiro Nakamura

The University of Tokyo

November 22, 2007

Preliminaries & Overview

Topological, locally linear and smooth actions

Nonsmoothable actions

Nonsmoothable \mathbb{Z}_p -actions on contractible 4-manifolds

Background on gauge theory

Our result

Proof of Theorem

Preliminaries & Overview

on nonsmoothable group actions

Topological, locally linear and smooth actions

Let G be a finite group.

- ▶ $X: C^0$ -manifold $\longrightarrow G \curvearrowright X$: topological G -action.
- ▶ $X: C^\infty$ -manifold $\longrightarrow G \curvearrowright X$: smooth G -action.

Definition

A topological G -action on X^n is called **locally linear** if $\forall x \in X, \exists V_x$: a G_x -invariant nbd. of x s.t.

($G_x =$ the isotropy subgroup of x .)

- ▶ $V_x \underset{\text{homeo}}{\cong} \mathbb{R}^n$,
- ▶ G_x acts on $\mathbb{R}^n \cong V_x$ in a linear orthogonal way.

In general, $\text{smooth} \begin{matrix} \Rightarrow \\ \not\Leftarrow \end{matrix} \text{locally linear}$

3-classes of group actions

- ▶ G : finite group.
- ▶ X : a C^0 -manifold.
- ▶ $X_\sigma \leftarrow$ a smooth structure σ specified.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Top}(G, X) &:= \{\text{topological } G\text{-actions on } X\} / \sim_{\text{homeo}}, \\ \text{LL}(G, X) &:= \{\text{loc. lin. } G\text{-actions on } X\} / \sim_{\text{homeo}}, \\ \text{C}^\infty(G, X_\sigma) &:= \{\text{smooth } G\text{-actions on } X_\sigma\} / \sim_{\text{diffeo}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Top}(G, X) \supset \text{LL}(G, X) \xrightarrow{\varphi_\sigma} \text{C}^\infty(G, X_\sigma),$$

where φ_σ is the map forgetting the smooth structure.

$$Top(G, X) \supset LL(G, X) \xleftarrow{\varphi_\sigma} C^\infty(G, X_\sigma),$$

Definition

A loc. lin. G -action on X is,

- smoothable** w.r.t. $\sigma \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow}$ (its class) $\in \text{im } \varphi_\sigma$,
- nonsmoothable** w.r.t. $\sigma \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow}$ (its class) $\notin \text{im } \varphi_\sigma$.

Remark

- ▶ φ_σ is surjective $\Leftrightarrow \forall$ loc. lin. G -action is smoothable.
- ▶ φ_σ is **not** surjective $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ nonsmoothable loc. lin. G -action.

Nonsmoothable actions

Question

When a locally linear G -action on X is smoothable?

Answer

No nonsmoothable loc. lin. action when $n = \dim X \leq 3$.

- ▶ $n \leq 2$: \forall top. G -action is **smoothable**.

$$C^\infty(G, X) \xrightarrow{\varphi_\sigma} LL(G, X) = Top(G, X) \quad \text{surjective.}$$

- ▶ $n = 3$: [Kwasik-Lee '88]

For closed X , **smoothable** \Leftrightarrow **locally linear**

$$C^\infty(G, X) \xrightarrow{\varphi_\sigma} LL(G, X) \quad \text{surjective.}$$

Cf. In general, $LL(G, X) \subsetneq Top(G, X)$. \leftarrow [Bing '52] etc.

$n = 4$

\exists Many examples of nonsmoothable loc. lin. actions.

1. [Kwasik-Lee '88] $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \curvearrowright X$: a closed smooth 4-manifold.
2. [Kwasik-Lawson '93]
 $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$ (p : prime) $\curvearrowright X$: contractible s.t.
 $\partial X = \Sigma(a, b, c)$: Brieskorn.
3. [Hambleton-Lee '95] $G = \mathbb{Z}_5 \curvearrowright X = \mathbb{C}P^2 \# \mathbb{C}P^2$.
4. [Bryan '98] $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \curvearrowright X = K3$.
5. [Kiyono '04] $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$ (p : prime) $\curvearrowright X = \#S^2 \times S^2$.
6. [Liu-N '05-06] $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$ ($p = 3, 5, 7$) $\curvearrowright X = E(n)$.
7. [Chen-Kwasik '07] \exists family of symplectic exotic $K3$ s.t.
 \forall nontrivial odd order loc. lin. actions are nonsmoothable.
8. [N. '07] $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \curvearrowright X = K3 \# K3$.

1. [Kwasik-Lee '88]

\exists nonsmoothable loc. lin. \mathbb{Z}_2^n -actions on a closed smooth 4-manifold.
 (These actions are not orientation-preserving.)

Remark

In general, we need 2-steps to construct a nonsmoothable loc. lin. action:

- ▶ **Existence**: To construct loc. lin. actions concretely.
- ▶ **Nonsmoothable**: To prove the above actions do not satisfy conditions to be smooth.

In 1. [Kwasik-Lee '88],

- ▶ **Existence** \leftarrow Surgery theory
- ▶ **Nonsmoothable** \leftarrow Kirby-Siebenmann invariants

2.[Kwasik-Lawson '93]

\exists nonsmoothable loc.lin. \mathbb{Z}_p -actions (p : prime) on a contractible smooth 4-manifold W s.t.

- ▶ orientation-preserving,
- ▶ $\partial W = \Sigma(a, b, c)$: Brieskorn homology 3-sphere,
- ▶ The action is free on $\partial W = \Sigma(a, b, c)$.

- Existence \leftarrow [Edmonds '87]
- Nonsmoothable \leftarrow Donaldson theory
 (Fintushel-Stern's invariants)

Cf. [Fukumoto-N.'07]

By using Fukumoto-Furuta's w -invariants, we can prove Nonsmoothability.

Edmonds-Ewing's construction of loc. lin. \mathbb{Z}_p -actions with discrete $X^{\mathbb{Z}_p}$

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}/p$, (p : prime). Suppose the following are given.

- a) Fixed point data $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=0, \dots, n+1}$.
- b) G -invariant symmetric unimodular form

$$\Psi: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \text{ where } V \text{ is a } \mathbb{Z}[G]\text{-module.}$$

[Edmonds '87], [Edmonds-Ewing '92]

Certain conditions on a) & b) $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ loc. lin. G -action on $\exists X^4$
 with $\pi_1(X) = 1$ realizing a), b).

The action is constructed by an equivariant handle construction.

Equivariant handle construction

Let \mathbb{C}_k be the complex 1-dim. weight k representation of \mathbb{Z}_p .

$$B_{(a_0, b_0)} = D^4 \subset \mathbb{C}_{a_0} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{b_0} \leftarrow \text{0-handle}$$

$$D_{(a_i, b_i)} = D^2 \times D^2 \subset \mathbb{C}_{a_i} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{b_i}, (i = 1, \dots, n) \leftarrow \text{G-inv. 2-handles}$$

$$\longrightarrow G \curvearrowright X' := B_{(a_0, b_0)} \cup D_{(a_1, b_1)} \cup \dots \cup D_{(a_n, b_n)} \cup (\text{free 2-handles}).$$

Note

- ▶ $\partial X' =: \Sigma$ is an integral homology 3-sphere,
- ▶ $G \curvearrowright \partial X' = \Sigma$, freely.

Theorem (Edmonds-Ewing)

- ▶ \exists a contractible (C^0 -)4-manifold W s.t. $\partial W = -\Sigma$.
- ▶ \exists loc. lin. G -action on W extending $G \curvearrowright \Sigma = -\partial W$.
- ▶ $W^G = \{p_{n+1}\}$. The type of p_{n+1} is (a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}) .

The proof uses Freedman's theory.

Thus, $G \curvearrowright X := X' \cup W$ is a required action.

3.[Hambleton-Lee '95]

\exists nonsmoothable loc. lin. $\mathbb{Z}/5$ -action on $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \mathbb{C}P^2$

- Existence \leftarrow [Edmonds-Ewing '92]
- Nonsmoothable \leftarrow Donaldson theory
 (G -equivariant "Theorem A")

4.[Bryan '98]

\exists nonsmoothable loc. lin. $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action on $K3$

- Existence \leftarrow [Edmonds-Ewing '92]
- Nonsmoothable \leftarrow Seiberg-Witten (refined 10/8-inequality)

The refined 10/8-inequality [Bryan]

If \exists smooth $\mathbb{Z}/2^n$ -action on a spin manifold X with some conditions,

$$\Rightarrow b_2(X) \geq \frac{10}{8} |\text{Sign}(X)| + 2 + 2n.$$

5.[Kiyono '04]

\exists nonsmoothable loc. lin. \mathbb{Z}_p -actions (p : prime) on $\#S^2 \times S^2$.

- Existence \leftarrow [Edmonds-Ewing '92]
- Nonsmoothable \leftarrow Seiberg-Witten (G -equiv. 10/8-inequality)

If $G \curvearrowright X$: spin $\Rightarrow X/G$ should satisfy "10/8-inequality".

6.[Liu-N. '05-'06]

\exists nonsmoothable loc. lin. \mathbb{Z}_p -actions ($p = 3, 5, 7$) on $E(n)$.

- Existence \leftarrow [Edmonds-Ewing '92]
- Nonsmoothable \leftarrow Seiberg-Witten invariants

Mod p vanishing theorem under \mathbb{Z}_p -actions

Mod p vanishing theorem [Fang]([N.])

Some conditions on fixed point data

$$\Rightarrow SW_X(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

On the other hand,

$$SW_{E(n)}(c_{\text{spin}}) = \pm \binom{n-2}{\frac{n-2}{2}}.$$

\therefore If $SW_{E(n)}(c_{\text{spin}}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \Rightarrow$ Not “Some conditions”.

Remark

- ▶ Loc. lin. actions in 2.3.4.5. are nonsmoothable for \forall smooth structures.
- 2. [Kwasik-Lawson '93] $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$ (p : prime) \curvearrowright W : contractible s.t. $\partial W = \Sigma(a, b, c)$: Brieskorn.
- 3. [Hambleton-Lee '95] $G = \mathbb{Z}_5 \curvearrowright X = \mathbb{C}P^2 \# \mathbb{C}P^2$.
- 4. [Bryan '98] $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \curvearrowright X = K3$.
- 5. [Kiyono '04] $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$ (p : prime) $\curvearrowright X = \#S^2 \times S^2$.
- ▶ For example, 4.5. use “10/8-inequality” on b_2 & the signature which are **not** depending on smooth structures.
- ▶ In other words, put $\Sigma := \{ \text{smooth structures on } X \}$,
 \Rightarrow 2.3.4.5. claim

$$\left(LL(G, X) \setminus \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \text{im } \varphi_\sigma \right) \neq \emptyset.$$

- ▶ In 6.([Liu-N '05-06] $G = \mathbb{Z}_p \curvearrowright E(n)$), we need to check SW-inv. for **each** smooth structure.

→ Our examples would be subtle???

- The following may happen:

$$\exists \sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma, \text{ (our example)} \begin{cases} \in \text{im } \varphi_\sigma \\ \notin \text{im } \varphi_{\sigma'} \end{cases}$$

In fact, our examples are nonsmoothable w.r.t. infinitely many smooth structures including the standard one.

7.[Chen-Kwasik '07]

\exists a family of symplectic **exotic** $K3$'s s.t.

\forall nontrivial odd order loc. lin. actions are nonsmoothable.

- ▶ We have several smooth \mathbb{Z}_p -actions (p : odd) on the **standard $K3$** .
- ▶ As loc. lin. actions, these are nonsmoothable for above **exotic structures**.

- Nonsmoothable

- ▶ \forall diffeo. should preserve SW basic classes
 - ▶ [Taubes] $\text{SW} \Rightarrow \text{Gr}$
- } \Rightarrow A strong constraint on the action on $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$.

8.[N. '07]

\exists loc. lin. \mathbb{Z}_2 -action on $K3\#K3$ which is nonsmoothable w.r.t.
 \forall smooth structure.

- Existence \leftarrow [Edmonds-Ewing '92]
- Nonsmoothable \leftarrow Bauer-Furuta invariants
A vanishing theorem under \mathbb{Z}_2 -actions
- ▶ Bauer-Furuta invariant is a stable cohomotopy refinement of SW invariant.
- ▶ $SW_{K3\#K3} \equiv 0$, but $BF_{K3\#K3}(c_{spin}) \neq 0$ by [Furuta-Kametani-Minami '01].
- ▶ [N.'07] A Bauer-Furuta version of the mod 2 vanishing theorem holds.

9.[N.'07]

\exists loc. lin. \mathbb{Z}_2 -action on $K3$ s.t.

- ▶ nonsmoothable for \forall smooth structures,
- ▶ $X^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$: discrete,
- ▶ $b_+^{\mathbb{Z}_2} := \dim H^+(X; \mathbb{R})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 3$.
- Existence \leftarrow [Edmonds-Ewing '92]
- Nonsmoothable \leftarrow Mod 2 vanishing theorem for SW.

Cf. [Bryan, '98]

For smooth \mathbb{Z}_2 -actions on $K3$,

- ▶ $X^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$: discrete & $b_+^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 3$,
- or
- ▶ $\dim X^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 2$ & $b_+^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 1$.

Nonsmoothable \mathbb{Z}_p -actions on contractible 4-manifolds

A joint work with Y. Fukumoto

Recall:

[Kwasik-Lawson '93]

\exists nonsmoothable loc.lin. \mathbb{Z}_p -actions (p : prime) on a contractible smooth 4-manifold W s.t.

- ▶ orientation-preserving,
 - ▶ $\partial W = \Sigma(a, b, c)$: Brieskorn homology 3-sphere,
 - ▶ The action is free on $\partial W = \Sigma(a, b, c)$.
- To prove nonsmoothability, [Kwasik-Lawson] uses Donaldson theory. \rightarrow Fintushel-Stern's invariants
- We ([Fukumoto-N.]) give an alternative proof by using Seiberg-Witten theory. \rightarrow w -invariants

Background on gauge theory

- ▶ [Early '80s–] Donaldson theory \leftarrow ASD equation
- ▶ ['94–] Seiberg-Witten theory \leftarrow Seiberg-Witten equations
- ▶ Many results obtained from Donaldson theory can be proved by using Seiberg-Witten theory, too.

Witten's conjecture('94)

Donaldson invariants are equivalent to Seiberg-Witten invariants.

Theorem (Feehan-Leness '06)

Witten's conjecture is true when X is of simple type and $b_+ \geq 2$.

Question

Is Donaldson theory strictly equivalent to Seiberg-Witten theory in mathematics?

→ Probably, NO.

- ▶ Bauer-Furuta invariants. → No stable cohomotopy invariant in Donaldson theory, at present.
- ▶ [Sasahira '06] 2-torsion instanton invariants.
→ No corresponding invariant in Seiberg-Witten theory.
- ▶ [Fukumoto '07] There are differences between consequences from Fintushel-Stern's invariants & w -invariants.

Now, we are seeking such a difference in our case.

Our result

- ▶ Let a, b, c be mutually coprime integers.
- ▶ $\Sigma(a, b, c)$: Brieskorn 3-sphere.
- ▶ Let \mathbb{Z}_p act on $\Sigma(a, b, c)$ as a part of the Seifert circle action.
- ▶ Suppose p is coprime to a, b, c . \Rightarrow The \mathbb{Z}_p -action is free.

Theorem (Fukumoto-N. '07)

$\exists(a, b, c), \exists p$ and \exists smooth contractible 4-mfd W s.t.

- ▶ $\partial W = \Sigma(a, b, c)$,
- ▶ the \mathbb{Z}_p -action on $\Sigma(a, b, c)$ extends locally linearly over W ,
- ▶ but no such smooth action.

Examples of (a, b, c) & p

- ▶ $p = 3$, $(a, b, c) = (2, 11, 53), (5, 13, 14), (5, 16, 17) \dots$
- ▶ $p = 5$, $(a, b, c) = (11, 31, 32), (3, 13, 14), (3, 16, 17) \dots$
- ▶ $p = 7$, $(a, b, c) = (3, 19, 20), (3, 22, 23), (3, 40, 41) \dots$

Remark

- ▶ At present, our result is completely contained in the result by [Kwasik-Lawson].
- ▶ That is, we do not find the difference between the consequences from w -invariants & Fintushel-Stern's invariants in this situation.

Proof of Theorem

Existence of W & loc. lin. actions

- ▶ [Casson-Harer] etc. → Existence of smooth W .
- ▶ [Edmonds], [Kwasik-Lawson] → Existence of loc. lin. actions.

Nonsmoothability

- ▶ Suppose the \mathbb{Z}_p -action on $\Sigma(a, b, c)$ extends **smoothly** over W with one fixed point x .

⇒ For some \mathbb{Z}_p -inv. nbd N of x ,

$$U := (W \setminus N)/\mathbb{Z}_p,$$

gives a smooth homology cobordism between $Q := \Sigma(a, b, c)/\mathbb{Z}_p$ and some lens space $L(p, q)$.

→ **Seiberg-Witten theory will give a constraint on such smooth cobordisms.**

- ▶ For $\Sigma(a, b, c)$, $\exists V$ -line bundle $\pi: L \rightarrow Z (\cong S^2)$ s.t.

$$\Sigma(a, b, c) \cong S(L). \leftarrow \text{the unit sphere bundle of } L$$

- ▶ Then,

$$Q = \Sigma(a, b, c)/\mathbb{Z}_p \cong S(L^p).$$

- ▶ Let $V := D(L^{-p})$. \leftarrow the unit disk bundle of L^{-p}
 Let us consider the V -manifold,

$$X := V \cup U \cup cL(p, q),$$

where $cL(p, q)$ is the cone of $L(p, q)$.

- ▶ Fix a Spin^c -structure c on X . The Seiberg-Witten moduli for c is given as,

$$\mathcal{M}_c := \{\text{solutions to Seiberg-Witten equations}\} / \mathcal{G},$$

where \mathcal{G} is the gauge transformation group.

- ▶ Let $d(c)$ be the virtual dimension of \mathcal{M}_c .
 $d(c)$ is essentially the w -invariant of U .

Proposition

For $\forall \text{Spin}^c$ -structure c , $d(c) \leq 0$.

Proof of Proposition

- ▶ X : negative definite & $b_1(X) = 0$.
 $\Rightarrow d(c)$ is odd & $\exists!$ reducible $\in \mathcal{M}_c$.
- ▶ Suppose $d(c) > 0$.
- ▶ \mathcal{M}_c is a compact space.
- ▶ By perturbing the SW equations,
 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_c \setminus \{\text{reducible}\}$ becomes a $d(c)$ -dim. mfd.
- ▶ Remove an open nbd N of the reducible.
 $\Rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_c := \mathcal{M}_c \setminus N$ is a compact $d(c)$ -mfd s.t. $\partial \bar{\mathcal{M}}_c = \mathbb{C}P^k$,
 where $k = (d(c) - 1)/2$.
- ▶ In fact,

$$\bar{\mathcal{M}}_c \subset \mathcal{B}^* \simeq \mathbb{C}P^\infty \text{ \& \ } \exists \alpha \in H^{2k}(\mathcal{B}^*) \text{ s.t. } \langle \alpha, [\mathbb{C}P^k] \rangle \neq 0.$$

\rightarrow A contradiction. $\therefore d(c) \leq 0$. □

Calculation of $d(c)$

- ▶ $\exists c_0$: the canonical Spin^c -structure associated to the complex structure of $V = D(L^{-p})$.
- ▶ c_m : the Spin^c -structure obtained by twisting c_0 by π^*L^m .
- ▶ $d(c_m)$ can be calculated by Kawasaki's V -index theorem.

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(c_m) = & \frac{1}{4} \left[-\frac{1}{p\alpha} (f + p + 2m)^2 + 1 \right. \\
 & - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i} \sum_{l=1}^{a_i-1} \left\{ \cot \left(\frac{\pi l}{a_i} \right) \cot \left(\frac{\pi p b_i l}{a_i} \right) \right. \\
 & \left. + 2 \cos \left(\frac{\pi(1 + p b_i + 2 m b_i) l}{a_i} \right) \csc \left(\frac{\pi l}{a_i} \right) \csc \left(\frac{\pi p b_i l}{a_i} \right) \right\} \\
 & - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \left\{ \cot \left(\frac{\pi l}{p} \right) \cot \left(\frac{\pi q l}{p} \right) \right. \\
 & \left. + 2 \cos \left(\frac{\pi(1 + q + 2 m') l}{p} \right) \csc \left(\frac{\pi l}{p} \right) \csc \left(\frac{\pi q l}{p} \right) \right\} \left. \right] - 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Please see the abstract for detail!

If one of the following holds:

- ▶ $\exists c_m$ s.t. $d(c_m) \notin \mathbb{Z}$,
- ▶ $\exists c_m$ s.t. $d(c_m) > 0$,

⇒ NO smooth homology cobordism between Q and $L(p, q)$

⇒ NO smooth extension of the \mathbb{Z}_p -action on $\Sigma(a, b, c)$ over W .

Remark

- ▶ Fintushel-Stern's invariant $R(k)$ is defined as

$R(k) :=$ The virtual dimension of the ASD-moduli on X ,

where k is the instanton number.

- ▶ If k is small enough
 - ⇒ The ASD-moduli is compact
 - ⇒ $R(k) \leq 0$.