

Pin⁻(2)-monopole equations and Yamabe invariants

(Joint work with M. Ishida & S. Matsuo)

Nobuhiro Nakamura

Gakushuin university

Nov 22, 2014

Introduction

- ▶ M : closed oriented connected n -manifold ($n \geq 3$) ← always assumed
- ▶ g : Riemannian metric on M
- ▶ $s_g: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$: scalar curvature
- ▶ $[g] = \{ug \mid u: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+\}$, conformal class of g
- ▶ $\mathcal{C}(M)$: the space of conformal classes

Yamabe invariant

$$\mathcal{Y}(M) = \sup_{[g] \in \mathcal{C}(M)} \inf_{h \in [g]} \frac{\int_M s_h d\mu_h}{V_h^{\frac{n-2}{n}}},$$

where $d\mu_h$ is the volume form of h , and $V_h = \int_M d\mu_h$.

In general, it is difficult to compute the exact values of the Yamabe invariants.

Theorem([LeBrun,'96,'99])

Let M be a compact minimal Kähler surface s.t. $b_+ \geq 2$, $c_1^2(M) \geq 0$. Then

$$\mathcal{Y}(M) = -4\sqrt{2}\pi\sqrt{c_1^2(M)}.$$

- ▶ The proof uses the Seiberg-Witten equations.
A key point is the nontriviality of the SW invariant.
- Note $c_1^2(M) = 2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)$. χ : Euler, τ : signature

Main theorem

Theorem 1 (Ishida-Matsuo-N.,'14)

Let M be a compact minimal Kähler surface s.t. $b_+ \geq 2$,
 $c_1^2(M) \geq 0$.

Let $Z = Z_1 \# \cdots \# Z_k$ such that

$$Z_i = \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2 \quad \text{or} \quad S^2 \times \Sigma \quad \text{or} \quad S^1 \times N^3$$

with $g(\Sigma) > 0$ & $\mathcal{Y}(N) \geq 0$. (Ex. $N = S^3, L(p, q), S^1 \times \Sigma, \dots$)

Then $\mathcal{Y}(M \# Z) = \mathcal{Y}(M) = -4\sqrt{2}\pi\sqrt{c_1^2(M)}$.

- ▶ The proof uses the Pin⁻(2)-monopole equations.
(Cf. SW equations = U(1)-monopole equations)
- ▶ If $\exists Z_i = S^2 \times \Sigma$ or $S^1 \times N$ s.t $b_1(N) \geq 1$ ($b_+(Z_i) \geq 1$)
 \Rightarrow SW inv of $M \# Z \equiv 0$.
But Pin⁻(2)-monopole inv SW^{Pin⁻(2)}($M \# Z$) $\not\equiv 0$.

Contents

- ▶ Introduction
- ▶ Yamabe invariants
- ▶ Outline of the proof
- ▶ Obstructions to the existence of Einstein metrics & Ricci flow

Yamabe invariants

Reference

[K. Akutagawa] *Yamabe invariants*, Sugaku, 66-1(2014), 31–60.

- ▶ M : closed oriented connected n -manifold ($n \geq 3$)
- ▶ $\mathcal{M}(M) =$ the space of Riemannian metrics on M .

(Normalized) Einstein-Hilbert functional

$$E: \mathcal{M}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad g \mapsto \frac{\int_M s_g d\mu_g}{V_g^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}$$

- ▶ Critical points of E are Einstein metrics.
- ▶ $\inf_g E(g) = -\infty$, $\sup_g E(g) = +\infty$.

- ▶ $\mathcal{M}_1(M) = \{g \in \mathcal{M}(M) \mid V_g = 1\}$, $[g]_1 = [g] \cap \mathcal{M}_1(M)$.
- ▶ For $h \in \text{Crit}(E|_{\mathcal{M}_1(M)})$, if $(M, h) \not\cong (S^n, g_0)$ (not conformal iso.), then

$$T_h \mathcal{M}_1(M) \cong T_h(\text{Diff}(M)^* h) \oplus T_h[h]_1 \oplus {}^{\exists} \mathbb{V}.$$

- ▶ $\exists W \subset \mathbb{V}$: finite dimensional subspace, for smooth variation $\{h_t\}_{t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)}$,

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(h_t) \Big|_{t=0} \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } \dot{h}_t(0) \in T_h(\text{Diff}(M)^* h) \\ > 0 & \text{if } \dot{h}_t(0) \in T_h[h]_1 \\ < 0 & \text{if } \dot{h}_t(0) \in \mathbb{V} \cap W^\perp \end{cases}$$

- Try the min-max approach to find saddle points!

Yamabe constant

- ▶ Yamabe constant is defined via the min process.
- ▶ [Fact] For any conformal class $[g]$, $E|_{[g]}$ is bounded below.

Yamabe constant $Y(M, [g]) = \inf_{h \in [g]} E(h)$

Theorem (Yamabe, Trudinger, Aubin, Schoen, ...)

\forall compact manifold M , \forall conformal class $[g]$, $\exists h_0 \in [g]$ s.t.

$$E(h_0) = \inf_{h \in [g]} E(h) = Y(M, [g]).$$

- ▶ h is called the Yamabe metric. Then

$$s_h = Y(M, [g]) \cdot V_h^{-\frac{2}{n}} \leftarrow \text{const.}$$

Yamabe invariant

- ▶ Next consider the max process.

Definition (O. Kobayashi, Schoen, around '85)

$$\mathcal{Y}(M) = \sup_{[g] \in \mathcal{C}(M)} Y(M, [g])$$

Kobayashi says: “I wanted to consider something like the curvature of a smooth manifold. The Yamabe invariant is one of such things.”

- ▶ If $C \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ attains the sup, and $\mathcal{Y}(M) \leq 0$,
⇒ the Yamabe metric of C is Einstein.
- ▶ But the sup is not necessarily attained in general.

Properties

- ▶ **Aubin's inequality** $\forall M^n, \mathcal{Y}(M) \leq \mathcal{Y}(S^n) = \mathcal{Y}(S^n, [h_0]).$
- ▶ $\mathcal{Y}(M)$ is a diffeomorphism invariant.
- ▶ $n = 3 \Rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(M)$ is a topological invariant.
- ▶ $n \geq 4 \Rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(M)$ depends on differential structures.

Ex. ▶ $\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 9\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}}^2) > 0$
▶ $\mathcal{Y}(\text{Dolgachev surface}) = 0$
▶ $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 9\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}}^2 \underset{\text{homeo}}{\cong} (\text{Dolgachev surface}).$

- ▶ $\mathcal{Y}(M) > 0 \Leftrightarrow M$ admits a positive scalar curvature (PSC) metric.
- Hence, if $\mathcal{Y}(M) > 0 \Rightarrow$ the ordinary & stable cohomotopy SW and $\text{Pin}^-(2)$ -monopole invariants vanish.

Yamabe invariants of 4-manifolds

- ▶ $\mathcal{Y}(S^4) = Y(S^4, [h_0]) = 8\sqrt{6}\pi$

- ▶ [LeBrun,'96,'99]

For a compact minimal Kähler surface M s.t. $b_+ \geq 2$,
 $c_1^2(M) \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{Y}(M) = \mathcal{Y}(M \# k \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2) = -4\sqrt{2}\pi \sqrt{c_1^2(M)}.$$

- ▶ [LeBrun,'99]

For a compact Kähler surface M

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{Y}(M) > 0 & \Leftrightarrow \text{Kod}(M) = -\infty \\ \mathcal{Y}(M) = 0 & \Leftrightarrow \text{Kod}(M) = 0, 1 \\ \mathcal{Y}(M) < 0 & \Leftrightarrow \text{Kod}(M) = 2 \end{cases}$$

► [Ishida-LeBrun, '03]

For compact Kähler surfaces M_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) s.t.
 $b_1(M_i) = 0$ + some conditions,

$$\mathcal{Y}(\#_{i=1}^k M_i) = \mathcal{Y}(\#_{i=1}^k M_i \# k\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2) = -4\sqrt{2}\pi \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k c_1^2(M_i)}.$$

The proof uses the stable cohomotopy SW.

► [Sasahira, '06]

$M_1, M_2, M_3 = K3$ or $\Sigma_g \times \Sigma_h$ (g, h : odd)

$$\mathcal{Y}(\#_{i=1}^k M_i) = \mathcal{Y}(\#_{i=1}^k M_i \# k\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2) = -4\sqrt{2}\pi \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k c_1^2(M_i)}.$$

The proof uses the stable cohomotopy SW.

[Sung, '09]

- ▶ M : a compact Kähler s.t. $\text{Kod}(M) \geq 0$
 N : $b_+(N) = 0$ & $\mathcal{Y}(N) \geq 0$

$$\mathcal{Y}(M \# N) = \mathcal{Y}(M).$$

- ▶ M, N : as above.

Let $C_1 \subset M$ & $C_2 \subset N$ be circles s.t. $[C_2] \neq 0$ in $H_1(N; \mathbb{R})$.

Let $n(C_i)$ be the tubular nbd of C_i .

Let $\tilde{M} = (M \setminus n(C_1)) \underset{\partial n(C_1) = \partial n(C_2)}{\cup} (N \setminus n(C_2))$.

$$\mathcal{Y}(\tilde{M}) = \mathcal{Y}(M)$$

The proof uses the (ordinary) SW.

[Gursky-LeBrun,'98]

$k = 1, 2, 3, \quad \forall l,$

$$\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# l(S^1 \times S^3)) = \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2) = 12\sqrt{2}\pi < \mathcal{Y}(S^4) = 8\sqrt{6}\pi$$

$$0 < \mathcal{Y}(k \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# l(S^1 \times S^3)) \leq 4\pi\sqrt{2k + 16} < \mathcal{Y}(S^4)$$

Proof uses

- ▶ modified scalar curvature,
- ▶ conformal scaling trick,
- ▶ $\text{ind } D_A > 0 \Rightarrow \exists \Phi \text{ s.t. } D_A \Phi = 0$ & Weitzenböck formula

Outline of the proof

Our main theorem, again.

Theorem 1 (Ishida-Matsuo-N.,'14)

Let M be a compact minimal Kähler surface s.t. $b_+ \geq 2$,
 $c_1^2(M) \geq 0$.

Let $Z = Z_1 \# \cdots \# Z_k$ such that

$$Z_i = \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2 \quad \text{or} \quad S^2 \times \Sigma \quad \text{or} \quad S^1 \times N^3$$

with $g(\Sigma) > 0$ & $\mathcal{Y}(N) \geq 0$. (Ex. $N = S^3, L(p, q), S^1 \times \Sigma, \dots$)

Then $\mathcal{Y}(M \# Z) = \mathcal{Y}(M) = -4\sqrt{2}\pi\sqrt{c_1^2(M)}$.

Introduce the following invariant:

$$\mathcal{I}_s(M) = \inf_{g \in \mathcal{M}(M)} \int_M |s_g|^{\frac{n}{2}} d\mu_g$$

Theorem

- ▶ [Kobayashi, '90][Besson-Curtois-Gallot, '91]

$$\mathcal{I}_s(M) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathcal{Y}(M) \geq 0 \\ |\mathcal{Y}(M)|^{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } \mathcal{Y}(M) \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

- ▶ [Kobayashi, '87]

$$\mathcal{I}_s(M \# N) \leq \mathcal{I}_s(M) + \mathcal{I}_s(N)$$

For our $M \# Z = M \# Z_1 \# \cdots \# Z_k$, if $\mathcal{Y}(M \# Z) \leq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{Y}(M \# Z)|^2 &= \mathcal{I}_s(M \# Z_1 \# \cdots \# Z_k) \\ &\leq \mathcal{I}_s(M) + \mathcal{I}_s(Z_1) + \cdots + \mathcal{I}_s(Z_k). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $\mathcal{I}_s(M) = 32\pi c_1^2(M)$ by [LeBrun]
- ▶ $Z_i = \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ or $S^2 \times \Sigma \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_s(Z_i) = 0$
 $(\because Z_i \text{ admits a PSC metric} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{Y}(Z_i) > 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_s(Z_i) = 0.)$
- ▶ $\mathcal{Y}(N^3) \geq 0 \underset{[\text{Petean}]}{\Rightarrow} \mathcal{Y}(S^1 \times N) \geq 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_s(S^1 \times N) = 0.$

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Y}(M \# Z) \leq 0 \Rightarrow |\mathcal{Y}(M \# Z)|^2 = \mathcal{I}_s(M \# Z) \leq 32\pi c_1^2(M)}$$

Now, to prove is

$$\mathcal{Y}(M \# Z) \leq 0 \quad \& \quad |\mathcal{Y}(M \# Z)|^2 = \mathcal{I}_s(M \# Z) \geq 32\pi c_1^2(M)$$

These are proved by using $\text{Pin}^-(2)$ -monopole equations

Pin⁻(2)-monopole equations

Spin^{c-}-structure

- ▶ $\text{Spin}^{c-}(4) = \text{Spin}(4) \times_{\{\pm 1\}} \text{Pin}^-(2)$,
 $\text{Pin}^-(2) = \text{U}(1) \cup j \text{U}(1) \subset \text{Sp}(1)$
- ▶ $\text{Spin}^{c-}(4)/\text{Pin}^-(2) = \text{Spin}(4)/\{\pm 1\} = \text{SO}(4)$
- ▶ $\text{Spin}^{c-}(4) \supset \text{Spin}^c(4) = \text{Spin}(4) \times_{\{\pm 1\}} \text{U}(1)$
 $\text{Spin}^{c-}(4)/\text{Spin}^c(4) = \{\pm 1\}$.

Let X be a closed ori. Riemannian 4-manifold with (nontrivial)
double covering $\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{2:1} X$

Definition

Spin^{c-}-structure on $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a Spin^{c-}(4)-bundle P over X with

$$P/\text{Pin}^-(2) \xrightarrow{\cong} Fr(X), \quad P/\text{Spin}^c(4) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{X}$$

Characteristic O(2)-bundle

- ▶ $\text{Spin}^{\textcolor{red}{c-}}(4)/\text{Spin}(4) = \text{Pin}^-(2)/\{\pm 1\} = \text{O}(2)$
- $\Rightarrow E = P/\text{Spin}(4)$ is an O(2)-bundle
- \rightarrow Characteristic O(2)-bundle

$\tilde{c}_1(E) \in H^2(X; l), \quad \text{where } l = \tilde{X} \times_{\{\pm 1\}} \mathbb{Z}.$

Pin⁻(2)-monopole equations

$$\begin{cases} D_A \Phi = 0, \\ F_A^+ = q(\Phi), \end{cases}$$

where A : O(2)-connection on E , Φ : positive spinor.

- ▶ $b_+^l := \dim H_+(X; l \otimes \mathbb{R}) \geq 2$
 $\Rightarrow \text{Pin}^-(2)\text{-monopole invariant } \text{SW}^{\text{Pin}^-(2)}$ is defined.

Proposition 1 $\text{SW}^{\text{Pin}^-(2)} \not\equiv 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(M) \leq 0.$
 $(\because \text{SW}^{\text{Pin}^-(2)} \not\equiv 0 \Rightarrow \text{No PSC metric} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{Y}(M) \leq 0.)$

- ▶ Let $a \in \Omega^2(l \otimes \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R})$ be the g -harmonic representative of $\tilde{c}_1(E)$.
- ▶ Decompose a into the g -self-dual & g -anti-self-dual parts:

$$a = a_+ + a_-$$

Basic estimate 1

$$\text{SW}^{\text{Pin}^-(2)} \not\equiv 0 \Rightarrow \int_X |s_g|^2 d\mu_g \geq 32\pi^2(a_+)^2 \quad \text{for } \forall g \in \mathcal{M}(X)$$

(\because) The Weitzenböck formula.

$$|D_A \Phi|^2 = 2\Delta|\Phi|^2 + 4|\nabla_A \Phi|^2 + s_g|\Phi|^2 + \langle F_A^+ \cdot \Phi, \Phi \rangle.$$

$$(A, \Phi) : \text{a solution } \Rightarrow 0 = 2\Delta|\Phi|^2 + 4|\nabla_A \Phi|^2 + s_g|\Phi|^2 + |\Phi|^4$$

$$\int |\Phi|^4 d\mu \leq \int (-s_g)|\Phi|^2 d\mu \leq \left(\int |s_g|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int |\Phi|^4 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\int |s_g|^2 d\mu \geq \int |\Phi|^4 d\mu = 8 \int |F_A^+|^2 d\mu = 32\pi^2(a_+)^2.$$

Corollary $\text{SW}^{\text{Pin}^-(2)} \not\equiv 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_s(X) \geq 32\pi^2 a_-^2$

Non-vanishing theorem

\exists Spin $^{c_-}$ -structure on $M \# Z$ s.t.

- ▶ $\text{SW}^{\text{Pin}^-(2)} \not\equiv 0$
- ▶ $\forall g \quad (a_+)^2 \geq c_1^2(M)$

where a_+ is the g -self-dual part of the g -harmonic form a representing $\tilde{c}_1(E)$.

From these, we obtain

$$\mathcal{Y}(M \# Z) \leq 0 \quad \& \quad |\mathcal{Y}(M \# Z)|^2 = \mathcal{I}_s(M \# Z) \geq 32\pi c_1^2(M)$$

Theorem 1 is proved.

Obstructions to the existence of Einstein metrics & Ricci flow

- ▶ A Riemannian metric g is **Einstein** $\Leftrightarrow \text{Ric}_g = \lambda g$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
- ▶ $\{g_t\}$: 1-parameter family of Riemannian metrics
Normalized Ricci flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_t = -2\text{Ric}_g + \frac{2}{n} \left(\frac{\int_M s_g d\mu_g}{V_g} \right) g$$

- ▶ When h is Einstein, the constant family $g_t = h$ is a solution of the normalized Ricci flow after rescaling.

Let $M \# Z = M \# Z_1 \# \cdots \# Z_k$ be as in Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 (Ishida-Matsuo-N)

If $M \# Z$ admits an Einstein metric

$$\Rightarrow \quad 4 - (2\chi(Z) + 3\tau(Z)) < \frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)).$$

Cf. Hitchin-Thorpe inequality Einstein $\Rightarrow 2\chi(X) - 3|\tau(X)| \geq 0$.

Theorem 3 (Ishida-Matsuo-N)

If $M \# Z$ admits a solution of the normalized Ricci flow for all times $t \geq 0$ & $\exists C$, $\forall t \in [0, \infty)$, $|s_{g_t}| < C$,

$$\Rightarrow \quad 4 - (2\chi(Z) + 3\tau(Z)) \leq \frac{1}{3}(2\chi(M) + 3\tau(M)).$$

Ingredients of the proof of Theorem 2

Chern-Gauss-Bonnet + Hirzebruch

$$\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_X \left(\frac{s_g^2}{24} + 2|W^+| - \frac{|\overset{\circ}{r}|^2}{2} \right) d\mu_g = 2\chi(X) + 3\tau(X),$$

where W^+ : positive Weyl curvature,

$\overset{\circ}{r}$: the trace free part of the Ricci curvature.

[Fact] g : Einstein $\Rightarrow \overset{\circ}{r} = 0$

Basic estimate 2

$$\text{SW}^{\text{Pin}^-(2)} \not\equiv 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_X \left(\frac{s_g^2}{24} + 2|W^+| \right) d\mu_g \geq \frac{2}{3}(a_+)^2.$$

Ingredients of the proof of Theorem 3

Instead of the relation $\overset{\circ}{r} = 0$ in the case of Einstein, we have

[Fang-Zhang-Zhang, '08]

If X admits a solution of the normalized Ricci flow for all times $t \geq 0$ & $\exists C, c > 0$, $\forall t \in [0, \infty)$, $|s_{g_t}| < C$, $\max_{x \in X} s_{g_t}(x) < -c$,

$$\int_0^\infty \int_X |\overset{\circ}{r}|^2 d\mu_g dt < \infty.$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^{T+1} \int_X |\overset{\circ}{r}|^2 d\mu_g dt = 0.$$

On the Non-vanishing theorem

M : compact Kähler,

$Z = Z_1 \# \cdots \# Z_k$ s.t. $Z_i = \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$, $S^1 \times \Sigma$, $S^1 \times Y$ ($g(\Sigma) \geq 1$,
 $\mathcal{Y}(Y) \geq 0$)

Non-vanishing theorem

\exists Spin c_- -structure on $M \# Z$ s.t.

- ▶ SW $^{\text{Pin}^-(2)}$ $\not\equiv 0$
- ▶ $\forall g \quad (a_+)^2 \geq c_1^2(M)$

where a_+ is the g -self-dual part of the g -harmonic form a representing $\tilde{c}_1(E)$.

If $\exists Z_i = S^2 \times \Sigma$ or $S^1 \times Y$ with $b_1(Y) \geq 1$

\Rightarrow SW & Donaldson inv. of $M \# Z$ are 0 ($\because b_+(M), b_+(Z) \geq 1$.)

In general,

[Fact]

If $b_+(X), b_+(Y) \geq 1$,

\Rightarrow all of Donaldson inv & SW inv of $X \# Y$ are 0.

However, if $b_+(Y) = 0$, SW can be nontrivial.

[Fintushel-Stern, Kotschick-Morgan-Taubes, Ozsbath-Szabo,
Froyshov]

- ▶ Y_1, \dots, Y_k : $b_1(Y_i) = b_+(Y_i) = 0$ or $Y_i = S^1 \times S^3$.
- ▶ X : $\text{SW}(X) \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow \text{SW}(X \# Y_1 \# \cdots \# Y_k) \neq 0$.

- ▶ $\text{Pin}^-(2)$ -monopole theory = SW theory twisted along the local coefficient l associated with the Spin^{c_-} -structure.
 - ▶ It can occur that $b_+^l = \dim H_+(X; l) = 0$, even if $b_+ \neq 0$.
 - ▶ For $Z_i = S^2 \times \Sigma$ or $S^1 \times Y$, $\exists \text{Spin}^{c_-}$ -structure s.t. $b_+^l = 0$.
- ⇒ We can prove $\text{SW}^{\text{Pin}^-(2)}(M \# Z) \neq 0$.